IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : CRIMINAL ACTION

v. :

COREY KEMP, et al. : NO. 04-370-02, 03, 04, 05, 06

MEMORANDUM

I.	Intro	luction	
II.	Motio	ons for Judgment of Acquittal7	
III.	Motio	ons for New Trial - Common Issues	
	A.	Refusal to Allow a Continuance of the Trial	
	B.	Conduct of Voir Dire	
	C.	The Court Did Not Abuse its Discretion in the Handling of the Voir Dire 19	
	D.	The Court Did Not Abuse its Discretion in Excusing Juror No. 11	
IV.	Kemp's Motions for New Trial – Applicable to Defendant Kemp		
	A.	Kemp Has Not Shown Any <i>Brady</i> Violations Warranting a New Trial 29	
		1. Contentions of Defendant Kemp	
		2. Government's Contentions	
		3. Discussion	
	B.	The <i>Batson</i> Challenges Were Properly Overruled	
	C.	The Undersigned Appropriately Denied Kemp's Motion for Recusal34	
	D.	Kemp Was Not Prejudiced by Denial of Severance of Offenses	

	E. The Court Did Not Deprive Kemp of a Fair Trial by the Ingrid McDaniels Rulings	37
V.	The Court Properly Revoked Kemp's Bail and Required Him To Start Serving his Sentence Immediately	41
VI.	Conclusion	43